

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JASON BROWN,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-05-0866 FCD PAN P

12 vs.

13 MATTHEW KRAMER, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

19 On May 5, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
21 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither party has filed
22 objections to the findings and recommendations.

23 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
24 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
25 ORDERED that:

26 /////

1. The findings and recommendations filed May 5, 2006, are adopted in full;

2. Respondents shall file an answer within thirty days from the date of this order

and shall include with the answer any and all transcripts or other documents relevant to the determination of the issues presented in the application, see Rule 5, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases; and

3. Petitioner's traverse, if any, shall be filed and served on or before thirty days from the date respondents' answer is filed.

DATED: May 30, 2006

/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.
FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
United States District Judge